

**JPL Director's Research and Development Fund, FY 2005  
Proposal Evaluation Report**

Report type: Individual \_\_\_\_ Consensus \_\_\_\_

Proposal number: \_\_\_\_\_ Technical Review Manager (if consensus): \_\_\_\_\_  
 Reviewer (if individual): \_\_\_\_\_

*Title:*

*Authors:*

1. What are the innovative features of this proposal? Are they important? **Score: \_\_\_\_** (0 to 20)
2. Does the proposal contain significant flaws, omissions or errors?  
Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ If "yes", please give details: **Score: \_\_\_\_** (0 to 10)
3. Are the proposers technically well qualified to undertake this project?  
Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ If there are critical skills lacking in the team, please elaborate: **Score: \_\_\_\_** (0 to 10)
4. Are the expected contributions of the external and internal team members substantive, balanced, and well integrated? Will this lead to an institutional partnership? If "no, " provide details. **Score: \_\_\_\_** (0 to 20)
5. Will a successful outcome of the proposed collaborative work have a significant impact on JPL's technical capabilities for conducting future programs and projects?  
Is the work aligned with JPL's strategic plan? Please explain **Score: \_\_\_\_** (0 to 10)
6. Do the proposers appear to be aware of relevant related work?  
Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_ If "no", please elaborate: **Score: \_\_\_\_** (0 to 10)
7. Does the plan of work give adequate detail on steps, responsibilities, and schedule? **Score: \_\_\_\_** (0 to 10)
8. What is the likelihood that follow-on funding will result from this work? **Score: \_\_\_\_** ( 0 to 10)

Total score: \_\_\_\_\_ (0 to 100)  
Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature:

Date: